UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/518,545 | 02/08/2006 | Ugenio Ferreira Da Silva Neto | DASI3002/FJD | 9542 |
| 23364 BACON & THO | 7590 03/18/200 OMAS, PLLC | EXAMINER | | |
| 625 SLATERS | LANE | | WRIGHT, BRYAN F | |
| FOURTH FLOO ALEXANDRIA | or A, VA 22314-1176 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2431 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 03/18/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| 10/518,545 | DA SILVA NETO, UGENIO FERREIRA | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| BRYAN WRIGHT | 2431 | |

| | BRYAN WRIGHT | 2431 | |
|--|--|---|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>27 February 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | which places the r (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing | date of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire a | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | on. |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f |). | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extender 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the sist forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount on the corresponding amount of the corresponding a | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee be action; or (2) as |
| 2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl | iance with 37 CER 41 37 must be | filed within two months | s of the date of |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| 3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further con (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NO | | cause |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | · | ducing or simplifying tl | ne issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | 1 Con attached Nation of Nan Co. | | DTOL 204) |
| The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | mpliant Amendment (i | PTOL-324). |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allo | | timely filed amendmer | nt canceling the |
| non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 12-22. | | l be entered and an e | κplanation of |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a |
| 10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatior | of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet:</u>. | does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| /Ayaz R. Sheikh/ | /BRYAN WRIGHT/ | | |
| Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2431 | Examiner, Art Unit 2431 | | |
| | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Note: Applicant's amendment to independent claim 22 raises new a ground of rejection and will require a new search. First, applicant has amended independent claim 22 to recite "connected over a data bus with a remote control unit" and further adds the recital of "by the control unit over the data bus". Examiner contends there exist a 112th 2nd paragraph, Lack of antecedent basis, with applicant's newly amended subject matter. Secondly, applicant's amendment to independent claim 22 varies the claim scope as presented in previous claim limitation language by the addition of the reciting of claim limitation elements, "remote control unit" and "authorization availabilty". Examiner contends to properly address applicant's remarks presented on 2/27/2009 as they pretained to the newly added subject matter in independent claim 22, a proper examination will be required and subsequently a new search in this instance.